THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.4322 OF 2006

DATED: 20-04-2007

BETWEEN

Sri Boggarapu Hari Bala Krishna,
S/o. Sri B. Venkateswarlu,
R/o. 9-65-19A, Saikam Vari Street,
Kothapeta, Vijayawada.

...PETITIONER

AND

The District Youth Welfare Officer
Cum Ex-Officio CEO,
Rajiv Yuva Sakthi Scheme,
Krishi, Krishna District, Vijayawada,
Rep. by its Branch Manager and
Another.

...RESPONDENT

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a physically handicapped person and he approached the first respondent for sanction of a loan for business purpose for grounding a Candle making unit under the Rajiv Yuva Sakthi Scheme 2005-2006. The petitioner accordingly filed an application enclosing necessary documents but the first respondent directed him to approach the second respondent vide proceedings Rc.No.9/M/KS/05 dated 20.08.2005 stating that the petitioner has been tentatively selected for establishing the Candle making unit with a total outlay of Rs.50,000/- under RYS scheme for the year 2005-06 subject to fulfillment of the condition that the Branch Manager concerned shall give willingness to extend Bank loan and also to ground the unit. He further submits that

pursuant to the said intimation the petitioner approached the second respondent – bank but the second respondent has not at all given his willingness to extend the bank loan.

2. Counter has been filed by the first respondent stating that,
in fact, the second respondent bank received the proposals form KRISHI – first respondent on 26.08.2005 but the bank neither released the consent letter nor had rejected the proposals till date. It is stated that though the first respondent referred the beneficiary application of the petitioner to the second respondent bank for release of consent letter the second respondent has not given any consent, therefore, the first respondent could not release its share for grounding the candle unit under the said scheme.

3. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case,
the second respondent is directed to consider the proposals of the first respondent dated 26.08.2005 and take appropriate immediate decision either giving consent letter or rejecting the proposals by passing appropriate orders with a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J

April 20, 2007
DSK